

Annex B

Objection	Officer comments
<p>Very much against the scheme, do not mind other people using the street for parking. If the council keep making street ResPark no one will be able to park anywhere including ourselves. I don't think residents parking is the answer.</p>	<p>ResPark is only implemented through a majority vote and not consulted on until a petition is received from residents themselves.</p>
<p>My main objection is that the surrounding Southbank area is already heavily and dangerously congested so forcing local residents to park elsewhere other than Nunthorpe Grove would increase this problem. The street acts perfectly as an overflow for this area, the street is still accessible and the local guest houses all issue permits for a different zone directly outside their properties proving there is no link between the parked cars on Nunthorpe Grove and the guesthouses. I feel that threats of violence, intimidation and costly vandalism to my vehicle for attempting to park on this street set a precedent for this type of behaviour to gain results.</p>	<p>ResPark is resident driven and each request is looked at individually or includes the immediate streets. Nunthorpe Grove is currently available for all highway users; as such we do not condone acts of vandalism. If any obstruction is occurred to driveways then a penalty charge notice can be issued by CYC civil enforcement officers.</p>
<p>We are against this proposal for a number of reasons. But, Primarily, we don't believe there to be a parking problem on the street and we don't feel we should pay for parking for our own, or our family's vehicles. We hope you consider this when you are coming to your decision.</p>	<p>ResPark charges are agreed annually and all cost details are sent to each resident during the consultation to enable them to make an informed decision.</p>

I have never experienced the issue of failing to be able to park outside my house. I see no reason other than profiteering why ResPark can't be enforced free of charge. The obvious fair solution should be to fine the non residents and not to penalise residents financially in exchange for exclusive rights to use there own street when they should already be entitled to. I see no reason why the fee increases for every additional vehicle owned by the household.

ResPark charges are agreed annually and all details of charges are sent to each resident during the consultation to enable them to make an informed decision to weigh up the advantages and disadvantages. ResPark is resident driven. Policy's are in place to encourage sustainable travel as such prices increase per vehicle to support this.